1 2 3 4 5 6 7 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1 C 1	DANIEL G. BOGDEN United States Attorney District of Nevada Nevada Bar No. 2137 DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH Assistant United States Attorney Nevada Bar No. 1925 United States Attorney's Office 333 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 5000 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Telephone: 702-388-6336 Facsimile: 702-388-6787 Email: Daniel.Hollingsworth@usdoj.gov Attorneys for the United States of America
9	
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11	DISTRICT OF NEVADA
12	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
13	Plaintiff,) Case No. 2:12-CR-004-APG-(GWF)
14	V.) Case No. 2.12-CR-004-AI G-(GWI')
15	FREDRICK THOMAS,
16	Defendant.
17	,
	UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN EXTENSION OF TIME FOR THE UNITED STATES TO REPLY TO THE DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION (ECF NO. 772) TO THE MOTION FOR
19	THE DISTRICT COURT TO ENTER A PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE AS TO DEFENDANT FREDRICK THOMAS (ECF NO. 758), TO SCHEDULE A FORFEITURE
20	HEARING, TO CONTINUE THE SENTENCING, AND ORDER (FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME)
21	(FIRST EXTENSION OF TIME)
22	The United States of America ("United States"), by and through Daniel G. Bogden, United
23	States Attorney for the District of Nevada, and Daniel D. Hollingsworth, Assistant United States
24	Attorney, respectfully moves this Court to (1) extend the time for the United States to file its Reply
25	until August 27, 2014, which is currently due on August 14, 2014; (2) schedule a forfeiture hearing
26	any time during the month of September, except the week of September 22 through September 26,

2014, as the undersigned will be on a work assignment out of state during that time period; and (3) continue the sentencing to the end of October 2014. The sentencing hearing is currently scheduled for August 21, 2014.

The grounds are as follows. Following the defendant's plea of guilty (ECF No. 701) to Counts One and Two of the Criminal Indictment (ECF No. 1), the United States filed its motion on July 10, 2014, requesting that this Court enter a preliminary order of forfeiture seeking a money judgment against the defendant of \$50,893,166.35 (ECF No. 758). On August 8, 2014, which was 15 days past the deadline (LCR 12-1), the defendant filed his opposition to the entry of the preliminary order of forfeiture and a motion for a forfeiture hearing (ECF No. 772). The defendant made arguments and requested a hearing to determine the forfeiture amount.

The undersigned will be out of state during part of the time for the Reply to be drafted and filed. The United States requests an extension of time for the United States to prepare and file its Reply to and including August 27, 2014, pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 45(b)(1) and LCR 45-1, because both parties agreed to the extension.

The United States does not object to the defendant's request for a forfeiture hearing and requests this Court to schedule the hearing. "If the forfeiture is contested, on either party's request the court must conduct a hearing after the verdict or finding of guilty." Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(1)(B). The Reply will explain why the United States is not obligated to produce witnesses and evidence as Fredrick Thomas suggests in his Opposition. The United States requests the hearing be scheduled any time during the month of September, except the week of September 22 through September 26, 2014, as the undersigned will be on a work assignment out of state during that time period.

On August 11, 2014, Defendant's counsel, Bret O. Whipple, requested an extension of time for sentencing. The sentencing hearing is currently scheduled for August 21, 2014. The United States agrees to continue the sentencing to a later date. "Unless doing so is impractical, the court must enter the preliminary order sufficiently in advance of sentencing to allow the parties to suggest

revisions or modifications before the order becomes final as to the defendant under Rule 32.2(b)(4)." Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2(b)(2)(B). The United States requests the sentencing be continued to the end of October 2014 "to allow the parties to suggest revisions or modifications before the order becomes final as to the defendant." Id. Defendant's counsel, Bret O. Whipple, agreed to the extension of time for the Reply to and including August 27, 2014, to the forfeiture hearing in September other than the week of September 22 through September 26, 2014, to continue the sentencing to the end of October 2014, and to the filing of this unopposed motion. This motion is not submitted solely for the purpose to delay or for any other improper purpose. This Court should grant the unopposed motion for an extension of time for the United States to file its Reply to and including August 27, 2014, the forfeiture hearing in September other than the

1	week of September 22 through September 26, 2014, and to continue the sentencing to the end of
2	October 2014.
3	DATED this 12th day of August, 2014.
4	DANIEL G. BOGDEN
5	United States Attorney
6	/s/ Daniel D. Hollingsworth DANIEL D. HOLLINGSWORTH
7	Assistant United States Attorney
8	
9	
10	IT IC CO ODDEDED.
11	IT IS SO ORDERED:
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
13	
14	DATED:
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** It is hereby certified that service of the foregoing UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR AN 2 EXTENSION OF TIME FOR THE UNITED STATES TO REPLY TO THE DEFENDANT'S 3 OPPOSITION (ECF NO. 772) TO THE MOTION FOR THE DISTRICT COURT TO ENTER A 4 PRELIMINARY ORDER OF FORFEITURE AS TO DEFENDANT FREDRICK THOMAS (ECF 5 NO. 758), TO SCHEDULE A FORFEITURE HEARING, TO CONTINUE THE SENTENCING, 6 AND ORDER (FIRST EXTENSION OF TIMES) was made by the below identified method of 7 service on this 12th day of August, 2014: 8 9 CM/ECF: 10 Bret O. Whipple Law Office of Brett Whipple 1100 S. 10th Street 11 Las Vegas, NV 89104 Email: admin@justice-law-center.com 12 Counsel for Fredrick Thomas 13 14 15 /s/ Michelle C. Lewis Michelle C. Lewis 16 Paralegal Specialist 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26